

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 536-537 (1997) 257-264



Preparation of stable α -(nonamethylmetallocenyl) - α -hydroxycarbocations and their transformations¹

M.I. Rybinskaya^a, A.Z. Kreindlin^a, L.N. Kiseleva^{a,*}, N.V. Kruglova^a, A.A. Kamyshova^a, P.V. Petrovskii^a, U. Turpeinen^b

^a A.N. Nesmeyanov Institute of Organoelement Compounds of Russian Academy of Sciences, 28 Vavilov str., 117813 Moscow, Russia ^b Department of Inorganic Chemistry of Helsinki University, Vuorikatu 20, SF00100 Helsinki, Finland Received 19 June 1996; accepted 19 September 1996

Abstract

It has been shown that the structure of α -(nonamethylmetallocenyl)- α -hydroxycarbocations (M = Fe, Ru, Os), prepared by protonation of formylnonamethylmetallocenes with HBF₄ and CF₃COOH, depends on the nature of the metal and anion and is determined by the relative basicities. The Fe-containing salts have an open fulvenoid structure with an interionic hydrogen bond O-H...X irrespective of the nature of anion (X = BF₄⁻, CF₃COO⁻). The Ru- and Os-containing salts, in which the metal atoms are more basic than Fe, have, in the case of the weakly basic BF₄⁻ anion, a cyclic structure with an intramolecular M...HO hydrogen bond, while in the case of the more basic X = F⁻ or CF₃COO⁻ anions they are transformed to the open fulvenoid structure with an interionic hydrogen bond OH...X, the structure of which has been established by an X-ray diffraction study of C₅Me₅RuMe₄CH-OH...F⁻. When the Fe-containing salts are kept in polar solvents or stored in the solid state for a long time they are entirely converted into paramagnetic salts of formylnonamethylferrocenium, the structure of which was confirmed by the oxidation of formylnonamethylferrocene using AgBF₄. © 1997 Published by Elsevier Science S.A.

Keywords: Formylnonamethylmetallocene; Iron; Ruthenium; Osmium; Crystal structure; Hydrogen bonds; O-protonation

1. Introduction

Recently, using ruthenium and osmium metallocenes, we have investigated mono- and dications of types $[C_5Me_5MC_5Me_4CH_2]^+$ (1) [1-5] and $[CH_2C_5Me_4MC_5Me_4CH_2]^{2+}$ (2) [6,7] and have shown that they are actually onium compounds, the positive charge of which is localized mainly on the metal atom. The investigation of dications of type $[CMe_2C_5H_4MC_5H_4CMe_2]^{2+}$ (3) [8] has shown that they are dicarbocations with localization of positive charges mainly on carbon atoms of the CMe₂ groups.



* Corresponding author.

0022-328X/97/\$17.00 © 1997 Published by Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved.

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Yu.T. Struchkov.

It was interesting to study the structure of monocations for α -carbocation centers in which substituents different from the H atom and Me group were situated, in particular the OH group [9]. The interest in these complexes was caused mainly by the fact that introduction to the carbocation center of an electron-donating OH substituent capable of forming hydrogen bonds could essentially change the structure and properties of the resulting cations compared with cations (1) [9].

The OH derivatives were obtained by protonation of formylmetallocenes. It should be noted that previously the problem of protonation of α -carbonyl derivatives of metallocenes has been studied only on the example of ferrocene derivatives with nonmethylated Cp rings. Based on the ¹H NMR spectra, two alternative structures were suggested [10,11] for unstable products: structure (A) in which the metal participates in stabilization of the carbocation center and structure (B) containing a hydrogen bond with a metal atom.



The results obtained have shown that reliable conclusions may be obtained only on the basis of investigation of stable cations, in particular of permethylated metallocenyl cations. Therefore, for the protonation we used the permethylated formylmetallocenes (4) containing all iron-group metals, since it was known that the stability of this class of compounds increases in the sequence Fe < Ru < Os [12-14]. (Preliminary results have been published in Ref. [9].)

2. Results and discussion

We have studied the protonation of (4) by the action of such protic acids as $HBF_4 \cdot OEt_2$ and CF_3COOH [9]. The reaction of (4) with excess of $HBF_4 \cdot OEt_2$ in anhydrous ether yielded relatively stable products as dark-violet, beige and gray powders for Fe, Ru and Os derivatives respectively. Elemental analysis showed that the compounds prepared were actually the adducts of starting aldehydes with HBF_4 .

An examination of the IR spectra of both solid adducts and their solutions in CH_2Cl_2 indicates the absence of ν_{CO} absorption of the aldehyde group (1600–1645 cm⁻¹) and the appearance of lower-frequency bands (1570–1540 cm⁻¹) typical of protonated carbonyl compounds [15]. In addition, the new bands ($\nu = 1000-1100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) characteristic of the BF₄ anion appear. It turned out that all the compounds contained hydrogen bonds, and the character of the Fe-containing complex was significantly different from the Ru- and Os-products.

The IR spectrum of solid freshly prepared Fe-containing adduct (X = BF₄) exhibits a ν_{OH} band at 3500 cm⁻¹. We assign this band to vibrations of the OH group bonded by an interionic hydrogen bond of the OH...FBF₃⁻ type. This conclusion is based on recording the spectra of solutions of adducts in CH₂Cl₂ and studying their concentration dependence. As the concentration of adduct decreases, the intensity of the $\nu_{OH(free)}$ absorption band at 3600 cm⁻¹ increases. At the same time, the spectra of freshly prepared Ru- and Os-containing adducts, both in the solid state and in solution, exhibit intense bands in the region of 3280–3220 cm⁻¹. Their intensities do not depend on the concentration of the solution. No bands due to $\nu_{OH(free)}$ appear, even when the concentration diminishes from 10⁻¹ to 10⁻³ mol1⁻¹. This indicates that the formation of M...H-O intramolecular hydrogen bonds with Ru and Os is more favorable than with Fe, which is in agreement with the data obtained previously for metallocenylmethanols [15].

Based on these data, it may be assumed that the protonation of aldehydes 4 (M = Fe, Ru, Os) yields intermediate species (C) (see Scheme 1), which are further converted into different products depending on the nature of the metal atom. The structure of the products obtained was confirmed by an examination of the NMR spectra of all adducts and by an X-ray diffraction study of the Ru-containing complex.

Thus, the Fe-containing aldehyde (4,Fe) affords adduct (5,Fe,BF₄) with an open structure of type (D) with an interionic hydrogen bond, while the Ru- and Os-containing adducts (6,Ru,BF₄; 6,Os,BF₄) are characterized by type (E) with a cyclic structure and an intramolecular hydrogen bond. This is caused by an increase in the basicity of the metal atom in going from the top to the bottom of the iron subgroup.



The ¹H NMR spectra of adducts **5** and **6** (Table 1) were recorded for freshly prepared samples, since they were different from those kept for some time. The signals of the protons of C H group of C H(OH) fragment were assigned on the basis of the number of methyl signals and the ratio between their integral intensities. The presence of a signal at δ 8.93 ppm of the CH group and four signals of nonequivalent methyl groups in the same Cp ring of the Fe-containing adduct allows structure (**5**,Fe,BF₄) of type (**D**) to be attributed to the protonation product; this is also in agreement with the existence of an interionic hydrogen bond (according to the data of IR spectroscopy).

For freshly prepared Ru- and Os-containing adducts **6** (X = BF₄) in CD₂Cl₂ solution the signals for CH–OH groups have been observed at $\delta = 8.07$ ppm (M = Ru) and 8.09 ppm (M = Os) and two methyl signals (α and β) of corresponding intensity in both cases. This allows one to assign these adducts to the molecules with an intracyclic hydrogen bond of type (**E**) (according to the IR spectra). Even after a few minutes, the ¹H NMR spectra recorded in CD₂Cl₂ solution, along with signals cited above, show that new CH-proton signals at $\delta = 8.44$ (M = Ru) and 8.56 ppm (M = Os) and four signals with the corresponding intensities due to the protons of the nonequivalent methyl groups begin to grow; this is indicative of type (**D**) with the open structure (see Table 1). The intensity of the proton signals for cations of type (**E**) decreases.

The nonequivalence of methyl groups in open structures of type (**D**) is caused by the fact that the CH(OH) fragment in these compounds (see Scheme 1) lies virtually in the Cp-ring plane, which is confirmed by X-ray diffraction data for the Ru-containing complex (5,Ru,F), as will be seen below.

The CH(OH) fragment in cyclic structure (E) is rotated in such a way that an intramolecular hydrogen bond is formed with the metal atom. In this case, the α and α' as well as β and β' methyl groups are equivalent in pairs.

¹ H NMR data for compounds 4 and 5 (δ ppm)	I aoio I						
	'H NMR	data for	compounds	4 a	nd 5	(<i>δ</i> pp	m)

Table 1

Compound	Functional group (1H)	$C_5 Me_5 (15H)$	$C_5 Me_4$ (12H)
$4, \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{a}}$	9.98 C <i>H</i> O	1.54	1.88(6H); 1.72(6H)
5, $M = Fe$, $X = BF_{4}^{a}$	8.93 C H(OH)	1.59	1,94(3H); 1.92(3H)
· · · ·			1.91(3H); 1.72(3H)
5, $M = Fe$, $X = CF_3CO_2^{b}$	8.55 C H(OH)		1.62(27H)
$4, \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{a}}$	9.61 C <i>H</i> O	1.63	1.89(6H); 1.70(6H)
5, $M = Ru$, $X = BF_4^{a}$	8.09. C H(OH)	1.67	1.80(6H); 1.72(6H)
5, $M = Ru$, $X = F^{a}$	8.44 C <i>H</i> (OH)	1.68	1.94(3H); 1.82(3H);
			1.78(3H); 1.66(3H)
5, $M = Ru$, $X = CF_3CO_2^{b}$	7.84 C <i>H</i> (OH)	1.69	1.89(6H);
, , <u>, ,</u>			1.81(3H); 1.48(3H)
$4, \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{Os}^{a}$	9.69 C <i>H</i> O	1.73	1.97(6H); 1.74(6H)
5, $M = Os$, $X = BF_a^{a}$	8.07 C <i>H</i> (OH)		1.79(27H)
5, $M = Os$, $X = F^{a}$	8.56 C <i>H</i> (OH)	1.78	1.96(3H); 1.86(3H);
, ,			1.81(3H); 1.67(3H)
5. $M = O_{5}$, $X = CF_{2}CO_{2}^{b}$	7.89 C <i>H</i> (OH)	1.83	1.94(3H); 1.87(3H);
· ·			1.81(3H); 1.51(3H)

Solvent: ^a CD₂Cl₂; ^b CD₃NO₂.

The ¹H NMR spectra of cyclic adducts **6** (Ru,BF₄) of type (**E**) each exhibit two signals of methyl group protons of the substituted Cp ring.

The methyl groups of C_5Me_5 rings are observed in the ¹H NMR spectra of all these compounds as one signal with an intensity corresponding to 15 protons.

As was cited above, the cyclic Ru- and Os-containing adducts of type (**E**) are irreversibly converted in solution into the open compounds of type (**D**) with interionic hydrogen bonds. This transformation can be observed clearly in the ¹H NMR spectra. For example, when the spectrum of the adduct (6,Ru,BF₄) was recorded in CD₂Cl₂ 1 h after preparation of solution, the ratio of cyclic (**E**) to open structure (**D**) was 3:1 and 335 h later this ratio was 1:3. The conversion of cyclic Os-containing cation (6,Os,X = BF₄) to the open structure occurs slowly. Moreover, it should be noted that the conversion of cyclic cations (**E**) to the open (**D**) occurs faster in open tubes and with an increase in solvent polarity.

The reason for these transformation became clear when a crystal of Ru-containing compound was grown and studied by X-ray diffraction analysis. The result of this study was somewhat unexpected, since we found that the crystal prepared from cyclic (6,Ru,BF₄) contained F⁻ anion, rather than BF₄⁻ anion. Details of the X-ray data were published in Ref. [9]. These data showed that the crystal obtained contained molecules in which the cationic fragment had an open fulvenoid structure of type (**D**) with an OH... F^- interionic hydrogen bond. The CH_a(OH) fragment lies practically in the Cp-ring plane. This result makes it possible to conclude that there is no Ru...C_{α} direct interaction (the Ru...C_{α} distance is 3.174 Å). The formation of the crystal containing a fluorine anion, and irreversible changes observed in 'H NMR spectra when solutions of the samples are kept in open tubes, allow one to conclude that the type (E) cyclic structure is converted into the type (D) open structure, and the BF_4^- anions are hydrolyzed to yield F⁻ anion and BF_3OH^- (see Scheme 2). Hydrolysis of salts containing BF_4^- anions is possible [16]. However, one may believe that, in the case of adducts (6,Ru,Os,BF₄) (structure E), the conversion of BF_4^- in organic solvents is promoted by the formation of the $OH...F^-$ interionic hydrogen bond (structure **D**); this would be stronger than the OH...M intramolecular hydrogen bond, since in an aprotic solvent the F⁻ anion can successfully compete as a base with the metal atom, unlike the BF_4^- anion which only forms a weak coordination bond [17] or interionic hydrogen bond. The crystal containing the OH...F⁻ hydrogen bond might have been more stable and more suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis than a crystal with participation of the BF_3OH^- anion. In addition, it cannot be ruled out that an open fulvenoid structure of type (\mathbf{D}) is thermodynamically more favorable than the cyclic structure of type (\mathbf{E}) .

The idea that the hydrolysis of BF_4^- anion occurs with simultaneous transformation of cyclic form (E) to open (D) (M = Ru, Os) is confirmed by the IR spectra of the crystals of the Ru-containing salt (6,Ru,BF₄) grown for X-ray study. It turned out that the IR spectra of this sample exhibited neither a $\nu_{OH(bound)}$ adsorption band at 3280 cm⁻¹ typical of an O-H...Ru intramolecular hydrogen bond nor a broad intense band in the 1100–1000 cm⁻¹ region corresponding to the BF₄⁻ anion. The $\nu_{OH(bound)}$ band shifts to the region in which ν_{CH} vibrations are manifested (3000–2800 cm⁻¹), which is caused by the formation of a strong O-H...F⁻ hydrogen bond.

The possibility of transformation of a molecule with an intramolecular hydrogen bond into a compound with an interionic hydrogen bond has been demonstrated previously in connection with protonated 2-formylnorbornadiene-cyclopentadienylrhodium hexafluorophosphate [18], the PF_6^- anion of which was also hydrolyzed.

When aldehydes 4 (M = Fe, Ru, Os) are dissolved in CF₃COOH, protonation products are also formed; these compounds were studied by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. These spectra confirmed that all compounds formed have the open structure of type (**D**) only. Along with the proton signals of the CH groups and methyl groups of the C₅Me₅-ring, signals corresponding to the protons of the four (α , α' , β and β') methyl groups of the substituted Cp ring are observed. This makes it possible to assign the structure of complexes **5** (Fe,Ru,Os,CF₃COO⁻) of type (**D**), in which the OH group forms a hydrogen bond with the CF₃COO⁻ anion (see Table 1). It is of interest that the same situation is observed in the ¹H NMR spectra of cyclic Ru- and Os-containing tetrafluoroborates **6** when CF₃COOH is added to their solutions in CD₂Cl₂ or CD₃NO₂. Instead of signals corresponding to the cyclic adducts **6**, only signals



Scheme 2.

Table 2 ¹³C NMR data for salts 5 of type (D) $C_5Me_5MC_5Me_4C(H)OH...X^-$

Compound	δ (ppm)
$\overline{5, \mathbf{M} = \mathrm{Fe}, \mathbf{X} = \mathrm{BF}_4}$	186.47 (CHOH); 97.27, 82.81, 83.26, 77.73, 72,38 (C_5 Me ₄); 9.96, 9.31, 8.97, 8.52 (C_5 Me ₄); 86.63 (C_5 Me ₅); 8.97 (C_5 Me ₅)
5, $M = Ru$, $X = F$, BF_3OH^a	169.28 (CHOH); 98.50, 98.31, 88.31, 88.21, 79.63 (C_5 Me ₄); 10.56, 8.97, 8.85, 8.77 (C_5 Me ₄); 90.72 (C_5 Me ₅); 9.40 (C_5 Me ₅)
5, $M = Os$, $X = F$, BF_3OH^a	120.06 (CHOH); 96,31, 95.80, 88.32, 85.73, 79.80 (C_5Me_4); 10.20, 8.48, 8.48, 7.61 (C_5Me_4); 90.93 (C_5Me_5); 8.59 (C_5Me_5)

^a It should be noted that the ¹³C NMR spectra of Ru- and Os-containing complexes are not sensitive to the presence of two different anions.

of the trifluoroacetates 5 (M = Ru, Os; X = CF₃COO⁻) were detected. In this case the transformation of 6 into 5, among other reasons, may be due to the fact that the OH...OCOCF₃⁻ hydrogen bond is stronger than the OH...M bond involving Ru and Os atoms.

Thus, we were first to show that the structures resulting from protonation of permethylformylmetallocenes 4 are determined not only by the nature of metal, but also by the nature of the anion that can successfully compete with the metal atom for the formation of a hydrogen bond. Thus, the Fe-containing adducts have an open fulvenoid structure with an interionic hydrogen bond irrespective of the nature of the anion X (X = BF₄⁻, CF₃COO⁻). In the case of the Ru- and Os-containing adducts, in which metal atoms are more basic than iron, the competition between the metal atom and the anion for formation of a hydrogen bond with the OH group is clearly manifested in the IR and ¹H NMR spectra. In the presence of the weakly basic BF_4^- anion, a cyclic structure with an intramolecular M...H-O hydrogen bond is formed, while in the presence of a more basic X (F⁻, CF₃COO⁻) anion, it transforms to the open fulvenoid structure with an interionic hydrogen bond O-H...X⁻. It is noteworthy that the participation of the OH group in the hydrogen bond formation entirely suppresses the ability of the C H(OH) carbocation center to interact with a metal

atom, whereas the unsubstituted CH_2 center possesses this ability, as we have shown previously using cations 1 and 2 as examples [1,2].

It should be noted that the ¹³C NMR spectra are less informative than the ¹H NMR spectra. As has been shown, the cyclic cation **6** (Ru,Os,BF₄) of type (**E**) is very easily converted to the open structure of type (**D**). Therefore, we present the ¹³C NMR spectra only for stable open-complexes of type (**D**). In the case of Ru- and Os-containing ions, they are formed due to hydrolysis of cyclic borofluorides (see Table 2).

In studying the protonated Fe-containing products 5, we also observed a change when these adducts (M = Fe, $X = BF_4$, CF_3COO) were kept in polar solvents or stored in the solid state. They were converted entirely into green-colored paramagnetic cations, as was shown by ¹H NMR spectroscopy [19]. In a polar solvent such as CH₃OH, along with the transformation to the green product, we observed deprotonation of 5 to aldehyde 4 (M = Fe).

Previously it has been published that α -ferrocenylcarbocations are converted by a redox process to cation-biradicals (F), which couple to form the paramagnetic salts of ferrocenium. For example, salt (G) is formed [20].



However, the presence of the OH group at the α -carbocation center in the protonated intermediate (C) (see Scheme 1) may influence the redox process of the Fe-containing cation. Certainly, it turns out that the paramagnetic salt has a monomer structure. This was shown by determination of the molecular mass by two methods. Molecular mass $M = 445 \pm 5\%$ was determined by the sedimental equilibrium method [21] for three concentrations in the interval $3-5 \text{ gl}^{-1}$. FAB mass-spectroscopy of this salt gave m/z ($I_{\text{relat.}}\%$): 340 [427 – BF₄]⁺ (100), corresponding to Me₅C₅FeC₅Me₄CHO (4) and signals of ion fragments m/z 312 [340 – CO]⁺ (40); 311 [340 – COH]⁺ (40), which were observed for aldehyde 4 (M = Fe) [20].



Therefore, the results obtained have shown that the paramagnetic complex is a monomer with the possible structure of the formylnonamethylferrocenium salt $[C_5Me_5FeC_5Me_4CHO]BF_4^-$ (7). The presence of C=O and BF₄⁻ anion was confirmed by IR spectroscopy (ν_{CO} 1690 cm⁻¹, ν_{BF4} 1070 cm⁻¹), corresponding to known data for this group.

The structure of salt 7 was proved by its reduction to aldehyde 4 (M = Fe) using NaBH₄ or N(C₂H₅)₃ [22,23] and by its direct synthesis by the oxidation of aldehyde 4 (M = Fe) using AgBF₄.



The formation of 7 from protonated aldehyde 5 (Fe,BF₄) is shown in Scheme 3. We suppose that cation-biradical (H') eliminates a hydrogen atom (probably through intermediate H") with formation of end product 7. Therefore, the presence of the OH group at the α -carbocation center influences strongly the behavior of the intermediate cation-biradical (H') (see Scheme 3) compared with cation-biradical F [20].

3. Experimental

Mass spectra were measured with an AEI-MS spectrometer, NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker WP-200SY spectrometer (¹H 200.13 MHz and ¹³C 50.31 MHz) with TMS as internal standard. IR spectra were measured on Specord M-80 and Specord M-82 spectrophotometers with concentrations of salts of 10^{-2} to 10^{-4} moll⁻¹ and d = 0.01 to 0.4 cm using CD₂Cl₂ or CH₂Cl₂ as solvent. The compounds were prepared under argon in anhydrous solvents, which were distilled immediately prior to use. Formylnonamethylmetallocenes 4 were synthesized by known procedures [9].

3.1. Synthesis of 5 $(M = Fe, X = BF_4)$

Aldehyde 4 (M = Fe) (0.14 g, 0.4 mmol) was placed in a flask equipped with a reflux condenser and a magnetic stirrer and 40 ml of Et_2O was added to it. $HBF_4 \cdot Et_2O$ (0.4 ml) was added to the resulting red solution. The dark-violet mixture thus obtained was stirred for 2 h at 20 °C and for 1 h at 0-5 °C, and then kept at this temperature for 3 h without stirring until precipitation was completed. The solvent was decanted, and the dark-violet precipitate

was washed with 30 ml of Et_2O and dried in vacuum to give 5 (M = Fe, X = BF₄). Yield 0.16 g (93%). Anal. Found: C, 56.16; H, 6.62; B, 3.00; Fe, 12.50. C₂₀H₂₉BF₄FeO. Calc.: C, 56.11; H, 6.82; B, 2.53; Fe, 13.04%.

3.2. Salts 5 $(M = Ru, Os; X = BF_4)$

These were prepared similarly to **5** (M = Fe; X = BF₄) from **4** (M = Ru (0,2 g, 0.52 mmol); M = Os (0.15 g, 0,32 mmol)) over a period of 0.5 h at 20 °C. Yield of **5** (M = Ru, X = BF₄) 0.23 g (94%). Anal. Found: C, 49.93; H, 6.08; B, 2.32; Ru, 21.46. $C_{20}H_{29}BF_4ORu$. Calc.: C, 50.75; H, 6,18; B, 2.29; Ru, 21.34%. Yield of **5** (M = Os, X = BF₄) 0.17 g (96%). Anal. Found: C, 40.42; H, 5.51; F, 15.46; Os, 30.97. $C_{20}H_{29}BF_4OOs \cdot H_2O \cdot HF$. Calc.: C, 40.00; H, 5.37; F, 15.82; Os, 31.67%.

3.3. Transformation of diamagnetic adduct 5 (M = Fe, $X = BF_4$) into paramagnetic formylnonamethylferrocenium tetrafluoroborate 7

3.3.1. In the solid state

96 mg (0.23 mmol) of salt 5 (M = Fe, X = BF₄) under argon was allowed to stand for 480–720 h at 0–5 °C. The color of the crystals changed from dark-violet to dark-green. The crystals that formed were washed with C_6H_6 , dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 and precipitated with C_6H_6 , to give 67 mg (70%) of 7 (M = Fe, X = BF₄). Anal. Found: C, 56.09; H, 6.74; F, 16.72; Fe, 13.55. $C_{20}H_{28}BF_4FeO$. Calc.: C, 56.24; H, 6.61; F, 17.79; Fe,13.06%. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): -20.27 (2CH₃), -31.76 (2CH₃), -41.32 (5CH₃).

3.3.2. In CH_3OH solution

A solution of 10 mg (0.023 mmol) of cation 5 (M = Fe, $X = BF_4$) in 3 ml of dry CH_3OH was allowed to stand 15–20 h at room temperature. The color of the solution changed from violet to brown-green. The solvent was evaporated, the residue was then washed with C_6H_6 and dried to give 9 mg (72%) of salt 7. Spectral data as above. From benzene solution 1 mg of aldehyde 4 (M = Fe) was isolated.

3.3.3. In CH_3NO_2 solution

Complex 5 (M = Fe, X = BF₄) transformed completely in 7 over 12h (in CF₃CO₂H: 2h).

3.4. Reduction of salt 7 (as prepared above) to aldehyde 4 (M = Fe)

3.4.1. By NaBH₄

To salt 7 (4.5 mg, 0.01 mmol) in C₂H₅OH (1.5 ml) and H₂O (0.1–0.2 ml) was added powdered NaBH₄ (13.5 mg, 0.3 mmol). After 1 h the solvents were evaporated. The dry residue was extracted by THF, the filtrate was evaporated in vacuum. The red product was aldehyde 4 (M = Fe) identified by IR-, ¹H NMR- and mass-spectroscopy: m/z ($I_{relat.}$ %) [M]⁺ 340 (90).

3.4.2. By $N(C_2H_5)_3$

To the solution of salt 7 (13.6 mg, 0.03 mmol in CH_2Cl_2 (2 ml) $N(C_2H_5)_3$ (5 mg, 0.5 mmol) was added. After disappearance of the violet color the solvent was removed. The dry residue was extracted with C_6H_6 to give 7 mg (63%) aldehyde 4 (M = Fe), identified as above.

3.5. Oxidation of aldehyde 4 (M = Fe) to salt 7

To a solution of $AgBF_4 \cdot (1,4\text{-dioxane})_3 (107.5 \text{ mg}, 23.5 \text{ mmol})$ in dry $CH_3OH (1.5 \text{ ml})$ was added a solution of aldehyde 4 (M = Fe) (47.5 mg, 14 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (3 ml). After 3 h the filtrate was evaporated. The green crystals were washed with C_6H_6 and dried to give 56.6 mg (94%) of paramagnetic salt 7. This was precipitated as above. Anal. Found: C, 56.28; H, 6.64; F, 17.62. $C_{20}H_{28}BF_4FeO$. Calc.: C, 56.24; H, 6.61; F, 17.79%. ¹H HMR (CD_2Cl_2): $-20.31 (2CH_3)$, $-31.89 (2CH_3)$, $-41.43 (5CH_3)$.

The salt 7 with NaBH₄ or N(C₂H₅)₃ was transformed to aldehyde 4 (M = Fe) as above.

Acknowledgements

This work was carried out with financial support of the International Science Foundation (Grant N JFN 100 and NSAW 000), and Ministry of Science and Technical Policy of RF.

References

- [1] M.I. Rybinskaya, A.Z. Kreindlin and S.S. Fadeeva, J. Organomet. Chem., 358 (1988) 363.
- [2] M.I. Rybinskaya, Izv. Akad. Nauk Ser. Khim., (1992) 1083; Bull. Russ. Acad. Sci. Div. Chem. Sci., 41 (1992) 850 (English translation).
- [3] A.I. Yanovsky, Yu.T. Struchkov, A.Z. Kreindlin and M.I. Rybinskaya, J. Organomet. Chem., 369 (1989) 125.
- [4] M.I. Rybinskaya, A.Z. Kreindlin, Yu.T. Struchkov and A.I. Yanovsky, J. Organomet. Chem., 359 (1989) 233
- [5] E.G. Gal'pern, N.P. Gambaryan, A.Z. Kreindlin, M.I. Rybinskaya, I.V. Stankevich and A.A. Chistyakov, Metalloorg. Khim., 5 (1992) 831; Organomet. Chem. USSR, 5 (1992) 401 (English translation).
- [6] A.Z. Kreindlin, E.I. Fedin, P.V. Petrovskii, M.I. Rybinskaya, R.M. Minyaev and R. Hoffmann, Organometallics, 10 (1991) 1206.
- [7] M.I. Rybinskaya, A.Z. Kreindlin, P.V. Petrovskii, R.M. Minyaev and R. Hoffmann, Organometallics, 13 (1994) 3903.
- [8] C.U. Pittman, Tetrahedron Lett., (1967) 3619.
- [9] M.I. Rybinskaya, A.Z. Kreindlin, L.N. Kiseleva, A.A. Kamyshova, N.V. Kruglova, P.V. Petrovskii, L.M. Epstein, A.N. Krylov, E.S. Shubina and U. Turpeinen, *Izv. Akad. Nauk Ser. Khim.*, (1995) 2486; *Bull. Russ. Acad. Sci. Div. Chem. Sci.*, 44 (1995) 2382 (English translation).
- [10] I.T. Chizhevsky and N.V. Rastova, Metalloorg. Khim., 1 (1988) 969; Organomet. Chem. USSR, 1 (1988) 530 (English translation).
- [11] G.A. Olah and Y.K. Mo, J. Organomet. Chem., 60 (1973) 311.
- [12] M.I. Rybinskaya, A.Z. Kreindlin, R. Hoffmann and R.M. Minyaev, Izv. Akad. Nauk Ser. Khim., (1994) 1761; Bull. Russ. Acad. Sci. Div. Chem. Sci., 43 (1994) 1605 (English translation).
- [13] M.I. Rybinskaya, A.Z. Kreindlin, S.S. Fadeeva and P.V. Petrovskii, J. Organomet. Chem., 345 (1988) 341.
- [14] A.A. Koridze, Usp. Khim., 55 (1986) 277; Russ. Chem. Rev., 55 (1986) 113 (English translation).
- [15] E.S. Shubina, L.M. Epstein, T.V. Timofeeva, Yu.T. Struchkov, A.Z. Kreindlin, S.S. Fadeeva and M.I. Rybinskaya, J. Organomet. Chem., 401 (1991) 133.
- [16] M. Angoletta, P.L. Bellon, M. Manassero and M. Sansom, Gazz. Chim. Ital., 107 (1977) 441.
- [17] L.M. Epstein, Usp. Khim., 48 (1979) 1600; Russ. Chem. Rev., 48 (1979) 854 (English translation).
- [18] I.T. Chizhevsky, N.V. Rastova, N.E. Kolobova, P.V. Petrovskii, E.I. Fedin, L.E. Vinogradova, L.A. Leites, A.I. Yanovsky and Yu.T. Struchkov, J. Organomet. Chem., 339 (1988) 165.
- [19] N.V. Kruglova, M.I. Rybinskaya, A.Z. Kreindlin, P.V. Petrovskii and G.I. Timofeeva, Izv. Akad. Nauk Ser. Khim., in press.
- [20] E.I. Fedin, A.L. Blumenfeld, P.V. Petrovskii, A.Z. Kreindlin, S.S. Fadeeva and M.I. Rybinskaya, J. Organomet. Chem., 292 (1985) 257. [21] K.E. Van Holde and R.L. Baldwin, J. Phys. Chem., 62 (1958) 734.
- [22] A.N. Nesmeyanov, E.G. Perevalova and L.P. Yurjeva, Chem. Ber., 93 (1960) 2729.
- [23] E.G. Perevalova, M.D. Reshetova and K.I. Grandberg, Methods of Organoelement Chemistry. Organoiron Compounds. Ferrocene, Nauka, Moscow, 1969, 131.